

FOR INFORMATION

NAHIP Advisory Committee Annual Meeting 2020

Agenda Item 3.1

Last updated: **3 September 2020**Prepared by *K Moore***Victorian NAHIP coordinator report 2019-20****RECOMMENDATION**

1. That the NAHIS Advisory Committee NOTE
 - a. **NOTE Victoria's NAHIP activities 2019-20**

BACKGROUND

1. Victoria reports quarterly data to NAHIS and the AHSQ as required.

DISCUSSION

2. The cross checking of EAD tests by AAHL continues to be useful in detecting data errors or missing data. It would be better if the AAHL data is sent for cross checking **prior** to the data upload date to minimise having to make corrections in the database.
3. As previously noted reporting of health certification testing will be an under estimation of all testing as negative test results are not always reported by laboratories to Agriculture Victoria.
4. The recent clarification of data reporting for AHSQ is welcomed.
5. Since the last meeting Victoria has contributed 3 case studies to AHSQ; a collaboration with NSW in Q3 2019 and case studies in Q4 2019 and Q1 2020

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. *NA*

CONSULTATION/COMMUNICATION

7. *NA*

NEXT STEPS/ACTIONS

8. *NA*

FEEDBACK

9. *[Please respond to the questions below in assessing the performance of the NAHIP over the 2019–20 year, and use this as an opportunity to offer feedback and suggested changes for continual improvement.]*

Q1 My organisation's needs and expectations of the NAHIP are being met.				
Strongly agree	Agree	Undecided	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Please explain your answer, including any suggested changes: The clinical disease investigation data is valuable. We have recently accessed it to provide some baseline information. The collation of the health certification data is time consuming and we are unsure of its value to Victoria.				

Q2 The outputs of NAHIP, such as the Animal Health in Australia report, Animal Health Surveillance Quarterly and the interactive data map are credible and robust.				
Strongly agree	Agree	Undecided	Disagree	Strongly disagree
AHSQ and AHIA are well produced and appear to meet the needs of the information required by our trading partners. The interactive map did not appear to be working (as of 1/9/20)				

Q3 The format and protocols in place for uploading and transferring national surveillance data are fit for purpose.				
Strongly agree	Agree	Undecided	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Please explain your answer, including any suggested changes: The current process appears to work well.				

Q4 NAHIP satisfies national surveillance data and reporting requirements.				
Strongly agree	Agree	Undecided	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Please explain your answer, including any suggested changes: The data reported nationally appears to meet the surveillance needs of the Commonwealth. As noted above we have found it useful in providing a picture of specific disease trends.				