

FOR INFORMATION

NAHIP Advisory Committee Virtual Meeting Oct 2025

Agenda Item ##

Last updated: 16 October 2025

Prepared by J Sarandopoulos

Victorian NAHIP coordinator report 2024-25

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the NAHIS Advisory Committee NOTE:
 - a. Victorian NAHIP activities for 2024-25

BACKGROUND

2. Victoria reports quarterly data to NAHIS and AHSQ as required. Data is collated using Victoria's surveillance database along with state and national laboratory data.

DISCUSSION

3. The ability to cross check EAD tests with the ACDP data continues to be useful and we appreciate this is sent at least 2-3 weeks before the data is due.
4. During this reporting period Victoria has been involved in two separate Avian influenza (AI) responses. This has proved challenging for a number of reasons:
 - a. Increase in effort required during data collation due to a massive increase in data QA and reporting due to the increase in AI testing, including assessing what investigations are reportable to NAHIS.
 - b. The change in data standards and subsequent changes leading to repeat data collation. This resulted in increased and unexpected work not accounted for when resourcing within Victoria.
 - c. Victoria resourcing the response for an extended period of time while also managing fatigue leave meant BAU work was under-resourced.
5. Victoria would like to thank AHA for their support over the last financial year including approving extensions to data submissions allowing us more time to collate the increase in data resulting from these responses.
6. As TSE investigations are now only reporting from NAHIP and not the NTSE there is no longer a duplication of investigations being reporting in AHSQ. Victoria has added an additional QA step of crosschecking the NSTE investigations with NAHIP to ensure they are all captured and reported via NAHIP.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. NA

CONSULTATION/COMMUNICATION

8. NA

NEXT STEPS/ACTIONS

9. None proposed

FEEDBACK

10. *[Please respond to the questions below in assessing the performance of the NAHIP over the 2024–25 year, and use this as an opportunity to offer feedback and suggested changes for continual improvement.]*

Q1 My organisation's needs and expectations of the NAHIP are being met.				
Strongly agree	Agree	Undecided	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Please explain your answer, including any suggested changes:				

Q2 My organisation is satisfied that the outputs of NAHIP, such as the Animal Health in Australia report are Animal Health Surveillance Quarterly, are credible and robust.				
Strongly agree	Agree	Undecided	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Please explain your answer, including any suggested changes:				
Due to the change in data standards, it has taken some time to confirm AHSQ reporting and any subsequent changes to the NAHIP data standards. As a learning, if this was to occur again in future, confirming AHSQ reporting should occur before a change in data standards.				

Q3 The format and protocols in place for uploading and transferring national surveillance data are fit for purpose.				
Strongly agree	Agree	Undecided	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Please explain your answer, including any suggested changes:				

Q4 NAHIP satisfies national surveillance data and reporting requirements. (Please outline any requirements not being met).				
Strongly agree	Agree	Undecided	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Please explain your answer, including any suggested changes:				