

FOR INFORMATION

NAHIP Advisory Committee Virtual Meeting Oct 2025

Agenda Item 1.1

Last updated: **16 October 2025**Prepared by *L. McLennan***Western Australian NAHIP Coordinator report 2023-24****RECOMMENDATION**

1. That the NAHIS Advisory Committee NOTE:
 - a. Western Australian NAHIP activities for 2024-2025

BACKGROUND

2. This report outlines the processes and activities undertaken by the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development in WA during 2024-2025 to deliver the jurisdictional requirements for the NAHIP

DISCUSSION

3. Western Australia access and summarises data from our Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS) for NAHIP reporting using PowerQuery.
4. The Department's Diagnostic and Laboratory Services (DDLs) is continuing consultation on options for a new LIMS.
5. Western Australia submitted two case reports and one feature article for publication in AHSQ during the past financial year. These were:
 - a. TSE exclusion
 - b. Lyssavirus exclusion
 - c. Feature article on the Western Australian EAD Veterinary Reserve training program.
6. WA has implemented the revised data standards as agreed by the NAHIP committee, noting the reduction in complexity with consolidation into the single project reporting format. Work continues to improve streamlining of internal data alignment activities to the new reporting standards.
7. Western Australia has provided further feedback through SCAHLS on the revision of the National Syndrome List.
8. Western Australia has attended the Future-ready Animal Health and Surveillance for a Safer Australia workshop to contribute to discussions on national surveillance systems going forward.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9. Nil

CONSULTATION/COMMUNICATION

10. Western Australia notes further work and consultation is required on replacement or modernisation of existing Central Animal Health Database.

NEXT STEPS/ACTIONS

11. Discussion of feedback at the NAHIP advisory Virtual Meeting as required.

FEEDBACK

12.

Q1 My organisation's needs and expectations of the NAHIP are being met.				
Strongly agree	Agree	Undecided	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Please explain your answer, including any suggested changes:				

Q2 My organisation is satisfied that the outputs of NAHIP, such as the Animal Health in Australia report are Animal Health Surveillance Quarterly, are credible and robust.				
Strongly agree	Agree	Undecided	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Please explain your answer, including any suggested changes:				
Western Australia thanks AHA for compiling the AHSQ and AhiA reports. There remains some inconsistency between jurisdictions regarding what is reported to NAHIS, particularly around negative case reporting.				

Q3 The format and protocols in place for uploading and transferring national surveillance data are fit for purpose.				
Strongly agree	Agree	Undecided	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Please explain your answer, including any suggested changes:				
The revised data standards and consolidation into a single project have significantly improved usability				

Q4 NAHIP satisfies national surveillance data and reporting requirements. (Please outline any requirements not being met).				
Strongly agree	Agree	Undecided	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Please explain your answer, including any suggested changes:				
Western Australia would seek guidance from the Commonwealth as to whether the program meets current and potential future reporting requirements from trading partners.				